1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 SPECIALTY SURPLUS INSURANCE 8 COMPANY, an Illinois corporation, 9 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C03-0927C 10 v. **ORDER** 11 SECOND CHANCE, INC., et al. and BRENDA CROCKETT, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 This matter has come before the Court on the Crockett Counterclaimants' motion to further 15 bifurcate the trial (Dkt. No. 230). Having considered the papers filed by the parties in support of and in 16 opposition to the motion, the Court hereby DENIES the motion. 17 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(b), which authorizes bifurcation, is written in permissive, as 18 opposed to mandatory, language. In this case, though bifurcation as proposed by the Crockett 19 Counterclaimants is a reasonable option, the Court does not find that a single liability trial will over-tax 20 the jury's ability to consider the issues and render a decision. 21 Accordingly, the motion is DENIED. 22 SO ORDERED this 24th day of August, 2006. 23 24 25 26 ORDER - 1